School Food Service On-Site Accountability Review

By February 1, sponsors with more than one serving site must review each meal service site during the meal service and complete this form. Sponsors must review each site approved to participate in the National School Lunch Program at least once per year and review 50% of all sites approved to participate in the School Breakfast Program once per year. Someone not involved in the daily meal accountability procedures at the site must conduct the On-Site Accountability Review. Keep completed reviews on file with school food service program documents for review or audit. Do not send completed reviews to KSDE.

Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting system, as approved on the site application, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid lunches, respectively, served for each day of operation.

If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting or claiming procedures, the Sponsor must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review conduct a follow-up on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems.

School:							Date(s):			
Monitor's Arrival Time:			Moni	tor's l	Depa	rture	Time:			
								Breakfast	Lunch	
Number of locations where meal counts are taken (ca	shiers	s, clas	sroor	ns, po	ds, k	iosks	s, etc.)			
Number of locations reviewed										
Required Review Areas	Breakfast Lunch					า				
Meal Counting and Claiming System	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Comm			
1. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location(s) where complete meals are served to children.) Describe the procedure used for all students:							Breakfa	ast:		
2. Is the point of service meal count used to determine the school's claim for reimbursement?										
3. Is the person responsible for monitoring and counting meals correctly identifying reimbursable meals?										
4. Is the school correctly implementing policies for handling the following (as applicable):										
Incomplete meals?										
 Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.? 										
Visiting student meals?										
 Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs non-program)? 										
A la carte?										
Student worker meals?										
Field Trips?										
Charged and/or prepaid meals?										
Offer vs Serve?										
Unpaid meal charges?										

Rec	quired Review Are	eas		Br	eakfa	st	L	unch				
Меа	al Counting and C	Claiming System (co	ntinued)	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Comments		
5.	reimbursable? Ex reimbursable inclurequirements, stu	to determine if a meak kamples of meals that ude meals not meetin dents not taking the r dult meals, second me	t are not g meal pattern equired ½ cup							Breakfast: Lunch:		
6.		ed as a backup for the Who is the backup?								Breakfast: Lunch:		
7.	when the primary not available? Do	ures for meal counting counting and claimin staff know when and Describe procedure:	g system is how to							Breakfast: Lunch:		
8.	Are daily counts c	orrectly totaled and re	ecorded?									
9.	If claims are aggre correctly totaled a	egated, are the meal nd consolidated?	counts									
10.	established to ens	ols (edits, monitoring, sure that daily counts dents eligible or in att claim is made?	do not exceed									
11.		prevent overt identific free or reduced price										
	Record today's m	eal counts by categor	y and compare	to the	numb	er of s	studen	nts eligible by category.				
	Number of Stude	ents Approved by Ca	tegory:		Too	day's	Meal	Coun	Counts by Category:			
	_	Breakfast	Lunch						Brea	akfast	Lunch	
	Free				Fre		D.:					
	Reduced Price Paid				Pai	duced	Price					
NO		g two questions are	for all aites a	voont			n CEI	O or [a with only re	acidential children	
	Is a current eligibithe meal counting	liity list kept up-to-dat system to provide an nbursable meals by c	e and used by accurate	<u>xcept</u>	101 3		II OLI	Oli	(CO).	s with Offig 16	esidential Children.	
13.	(Compare the dai free lunches to the currently eligible f lunches, respective	cks completed and do ly counts of paid, reduce e product of the number or paid, reduced price rely, times the attenda Site Application with a ed.)	uced price and per of children e and free ance factor									
Cor	nmunity Eligibilit	y Provision (CEP) (I	f Applicable)									
1.		oonsible for monitoring at each student has s al?										
	· · ·	non-pricing program?										
3.	Does the meal co meal is served pe	unt process ensure the student?	nat only one									

General Areas of Review	Breakfast Lunch						
Civil Rights	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
 Is the most current USDA "And Justice for All" poster displayed in a prominent location and visible to recipients of benefits? 							
2. Did meal service observations indicate that program benefits were made available to all children without discrimination?							
3. Are students with special dietary needs accommodated according to requirements?							
Offer vs. Serve							
1. Is offer vs. serve signage posted at the beginning of the serving line? Is there signage, before the Point of Sale, stating that students must take at least ½ cup fruit/vegetable?							
2. Is the meal pattern followed?							
Signage							
1. Is there signage that identifies the food components that are part of a reimbursable meal near or at the beginning of the serving line and prior to point of service?							
Food Safety			,				
Is the most recent food safety inspection posted in a publically visible location?							
2. Were required temperature logs available and up to date?							
3. Was the written food safety plan implemented?							
4. Are food safety storage requirements met?							
Nater Availability							
Is free potable water available to all students for lunch in each location where lunches are served and for breakfast served in the cafeteria?							
Competitive Foods							
 Do all foods sold during the school day meet Smart Snacks in Schools Standards? (includes vending machines, school stores, etc.) 							
Corrective Action Plan: (for all "no" answers)							

Date Corrective Action(s) will be implemented and by whom:
Signature of Sponsor Reviewer:
Signature of School Representative:
Follow-Up Visit: (must be conducted within 45 days if corrective action was required) Observations of corrective action implementation:
Signature of Sponsor Reviewer:
Signature of School Representative: